

The New World Order's greed

Dr. A. H. Krieg

The major issue consummated at the recent G-20 meeting demonstrates the decided opposition to freedom and free enterprise by all the participant nations. Increased control and regulations, oversight and transparency of world Khazar banking was hardly instituted. After all the prime calls for that was by the Ashkenazi president of France, who could hardly be taken seriously in any such endeavourer. They then announced one trillion dollar additional bailout funds into a world banking system that was called for by Brown and Obama, that is \$116 trillion in the hole; it will hardly have any effect. But then came the kicker. The hypocrisy of the attack on so-called "Tax Havens" by which the G-20 hope to obtain about one trillion dollars from so called 'Tax Cheats'.

To place all this into perspective, lets look at the tax havens, as they exist today. The Americas: Delaware (USA), Bermuda, Bahamas, Cayman Islands, Panama, Aruba, Grenada, Turks & Caicos Islands, Virgin Islands (USA) Anquilla, Antigua, Dominica, Saint Lucia, Barbados, St Vincent & Grenadines. Europe: London, Isle of Man, Dublin, Channel Islands, Andorra, Gibraltar, Belgium, Luxemburg, Switzerland, Liechtenstein, Monaco, Malta, Gibraltar, San Marino, Middle East, Cyprus. Lebanon, Israel, Dubai, Bahrain, Indian Ocean, Seychelles, Mauritius, South Africa. Far East, Hong Kong, Marshall Islands, Macao, Philippines, Singapore. A total of 40 places around the world.

With the exception of South Africa and Israel the other 38 nations are independent republics, mostly smaller nations, with free and open economies that the majority of the G-20 banksters, with their over regulated and mismanaged economies, are unable to compete with. Notably not one of their banks has failed, while only one, UBS, was bailed out by the Swiss government. Now, the pronouncement by the G-20, which is by the way longstanding, is the claim that the depositors of funds in these banks are tax cheats. This is very much akin to the claim by governments that people who purchase goods, liquors, cigars, cigarettes and fuel in places where prices are lower are in some way cheating government. Individuals just as multinational corporations tend to procure resources at the place that has the lowest price for equal goods. I per example never buy gasoline in Manatee County FL because due to county taxation the price of fuel here is ten cents higher than in any of the surrounding counties.

Like the "Puritans" and "moralists" the G-20 whose banks are collapsing by droves are seeking to inject funds from their competition, through extortion, into their failing banks and economies. It would seem that the impetus for this action is to divert attention from the failures of their own banks and economies by blaming a group of 40 competitors, whose assets are a mere spec on their own unbalanced balance-sheets.

The fact that the major attack is on the Swiss bespeaks a volume. The zionists, without legitimate cause, did the Swiss in for a few billion dollars a couple of years ago and found them to be patsies that were easily rolled over by the JDL and WJC, so why not try again. Switzerland which has been successful

in banking, commerce, and virtually all its endeavourers due to a good work ethic and honest management is the oldest confederation in the world and the longest lasting democratically run government in existence. It was America's and England's only friend in WWII so they must be paid back and not with niceties.

The basic demand is that the Swiss banks establish proof that all their depositors paid taxes as due in their place of domicile. This is a reversal of law in that innocence is assumed and guilt must be proven. The British and American governments want the Swiss to prove the innocence of their depositors, something that realistically is beyond their capacity to accomplish. Additionally they forgo any opposition to their own numerous tax havens.

The basic fact is that people seek tax havens because governments, and by that I mean most governments are badly managed, waste the people's money, and over-tax everything and everyone. Under such circumstance any wealthy prudent person will seek ways to minimize their personal tax burden. This is not criminality it is common sense.

The U.S. Treasury has entered a law suite In Miami Federal Court against UBS demanding the names of 52,000 American depositors of their bank. It would be very interesting if UBS were to bring a counter suite against the U. S. Treasury demanding the immediate return of all gold due Switzerland by our enormous trade imbalance, as well as remnants of Swiss gold stored in America prior to WWII. While the American government's estimate is at about \$14 billion as the assumed Swiss bank deposits the American debt to Switzerland is substantially higher at over \$22 billion for just 2008.

The British government is in dire straights. Their defense budget has risen to about 66 billion dollars the second largest in the world and is anticipated to continue rising. At the same time several Banks including the Royal Bank of Scotland and Northern Rock have gone belly up, as have numerous building societies. All in all England is as broke as America and both are looking every conceivable place for additional funds. The desperation at this point has reached the level where neither country even cares about political and other repercussions.

As America and England due to their inordinate and foolish war footing in Iraq, Afghanistan, and now Pakistan are exacerbating their financial position and bankrupting their governments all for the benefit of Israel, one begins to wonder when these mavens of foolishness will come to their senses. Before the Iraq incursion, based on lies fabricated by the Mossad WEF (the World Economic Forum) had given England a clean bill of health, today their financial standing is equal to Peru, Chile, and El Salvador. Switzerland rose to first place while America fell to sixth place. Yes my friends; wars are very, very expensive. Preemptive wars fought on other peoples behalf are as The Kaiser so aptly put it "the impetus for national collapse"

Another outcome of our foreign policy has been the dire state of the Dollar for America and the Pound for England. The British pound has fallen 30% in the last six months and the dollar has fallen an equal amount. This may appear not to be of immediate concern to you but rest assured, with us importing almost every thing consumer goods prices will begin to skyrocket sooner than later.

Added to this is the inevitable inflation due to deficit spending on a monumental scale, which will also cause prices to rise.

One would consider that our government would bring some common sense to bear on our national as well as international policies, but that will not happen. America has entered into a huge social experiment that is called socialism. Our president, Speaker, and senate majority leaders are all socialists. They have a plan, which is to so construe the national scene as to ensure that no opponent of socialism will be elected in the coming 50 years. Those by the way are not my words but those of Rahm Emanuel, Nancy Pelosi and Harry Reid the leaders of the progressive Democrats who expressed that opinion to the assembled House Progressive Caucus a short few weeks ago. To carry out their plan they will steal money from anyplace available, friend, foe, nations, banks, taxpayers, business, whatever. Mammon is the driving force behind the progressive cause, which is to socialize everything and everyone.

Read Dr. Krieg's Books and become informed!

Krieg on Guns!

A new treaty by Obama in the works!

Dr. A. H. Krieg

It has been some considerable time since I have written on guns an issue that interests me immensely. As a Swiss-American brought up during WWII in a nation completely surrounded by the Axis and armed to the teeth I am certainly in position to concur with the ruling of the ninth circuit court on this issue. I also strongly support the decision of the Supreme Court in upholding the rights of citizens living in Washington DC to maintain a gun for self-protection in one of the most violent urban centers of America. Eric Holder disagrees; he believes that citizens should be victims! The fact that Chicago, Washington DC and NYC have among the highest gun related crime statistics and the most stringent gun laws should not escape anyone's attention either.

I learned about guns from my father in 1943 at the age of five. My father was in the Swiss army from 1939 to '45. All Swiss soldiers keep their guns and ammunition and equipment at home so that they can be called to service instantly. The Swiss confederation fielded an army of over 480,000 militia out of a population of only five million that successfully kept Switzerland free for the duration of the war, through which they were able to save over 100,000 Jews. While my father was on the German border for the duration he came home once a month to visit mother and me, at the same time he would periodically re-qualify at the shooting range where I was allowed to come along. Teenagers were allowed to also qualify at the military ranges.

The mostly Jewish based anti-gun crusade in America has always struck me as peculiar. After all the state of Israel patterned its gun laws and military after the Swiss model, making Israel the second most armed civilian population

next to Switzerland. Both nations due in part to this have experienced crime incidence much lower than any of the unarmed civilian population nations like England and Australia. So the dichotomy of American Jews in opposition to private gun ownership in America and their ardent support of Israel that has a totally armed citizenry somehow makes little or no sense.

When I gained majority I had the option to enter either the American or Swiss army, living in America at the time I chose the American military in which I served overseas for over two years. I qualified expert with rifle, carbine, pistol and bazooka. In fact, I was the only person in my company to hit three out of three targets with Bazooka. I continued shooting after leaving the service and was on the Winchester Trap team in Bethany CT when we lived in CT .My unqualified opinion is that gun control is the ability to hit what your aiming for.

No essay on this issue can be considered without reflection on the many unnecessary mass murders that have taken place not only in America, but also in Germany, England Scotland, and Australia. While these could with proper existing law enforcement have been prevented the fact of the matter is that in virtually every case the perpetrators were by law prevented from possessing guns in the first place. To site some of these cases; Jiverly Wong who barricaded a Binghamton civic center and murdered numerous immigrant people was a former crack addict Viet Nameese who informed all that "America Sucks" as a former drug addict he was by NY state law prohibited from owning or even handling any guns. The real issue that is being swept under the rug is where did he get the guns? The case of Richard Poplawski a man who was the product of a broken family, had been expelled from HS, and dishonorably discarded from the Marine Corps who killed three police officers in Pittsburgh was likewise prohibited from owning a gun in the state of Pennsylvania by that states statutes. Again the issue is where did he get the guns? Lovelle Mixon, an out on parole felon and drug user, was a pimp in Oakland CA, he shot four police officers before being killed by another officer, he likewise was under California law prevented from owning a gun. Where did he get those guns? It is a well-known fact, in police circles that the most common cause of police killings are committed by criminal's ex-cons, drug addicts, and mentally deranged men that by existing laws are prevented from owing any fire arm. The obvious solution to this problem is related to the sale and wide distribution of legal guns that would at least reduce the probability of such heinous crimes. The fact of the matter however is, that American's own about 200 million guns and no laws no matter how written or construed will prevent violent criminals from obtaining guns. All gun laws do only one thing; they make victims out of law-abiding citizens and give violent criminals a major advantage. A simple solution would be to criminalize the sale of any gun to a non-qualified (1) purchaser by making the seller a co-defendant along with the perpetrator of the crime and giving mandatory 20-year sentences for any gun related crime. .

The real issue in civilian assaults is without doubt the consideration that if more citizens were qualified to carry, incidents would be short lived. If someone starts shooting at people in my presence I will be shooting back! The liberal

mantra seems to be to wait for the murderer to run out of ammo, not in my opinion a viable option.

The issue at hand is now that the “progressive Democrats” are in charge of our legislative as well as executive branches of government the and deluge of anti-gun legislation has already begun. Fortunately legislative anti-gun laws are non-starters, because the legislators know with absolute certainty that if they vote for such laws their chances for re-election are nil. One thing is certain the anti-gun lobby, which is well financed, by George Soros and friends are not in any way or manner deterred by this fact. They have in the past circumvented the legislative process by going the judicial route on any number of issues. Gay marriage, pornography, and others. With the recent Supreme Court upholding of the second amendment and the ultra liberal Ninth Circuit doing the same, the judicial route seems blocked.

The last option and one that has in the latter 20th and now in the 21st century become a new means for the liberal left to implement their demands on society is treaty law. The U.S. Constitution spells out treaty law in Article II Section 2 paragraph 2. (“*HE (The President) shall have the power, by and with the Advice and Consent of the Senate, to make Treaties, provided that two thirds of the Senators present concur:....*”) The basis here being, that once a treaty has been ratified it becomes part of our constitution and federal laws. This issue has been used by our Republicrat system numerous times to circumvent the will of the people. Senators cite the immense importance of a treaty on the primary issue and then negate the secondary bad issue as inconsequential. Worse yet if the electorate opposes the act, presidents (2) will use Executive Orders (EO) to implement policy that is in conflict by the major portion of the population and even congress (at least openly) to implement international agreements.

It is a well-known fact that Obama was the most liberal senator in Washington that was evident in less than 50 days that he served in that position. Obama is an unapologetic progressive democrat, which for the record is a socialist. All socialist should be more than just aware that socialism is a failed system of economics, which is clearly explained by Sir Winston Churchill, as “*Socialism is a philosophy of failure, the creed of ignorance, and the gospel of envy. Its inherent virtue is the equal sharing of misery*”. Every nation that turned to socialism failed, England, Russia, and Yugoslavia would be three examples. Interestingly all socialist states demonstrate the severest gun control laws in existence. The connection between gun control laws and socialism lies in the fact that all socialist states sooner or later turn to authoritarian police power to stay in supremacy as their systems begin to fail. Robbing Peter to pay Paul or put another way taking from producers to give to non-producers, i.e. group rights wealth redistribution is socialism. The problem is that after a few years Peter is as poor as Paul at, which point the system is unable to live up to its promises, having no one left to rob. Invariably the expansion of a police state commences to protect those in power from the citizenry. The very first act of this police authority is to remove from the people the ability of armed revolt against an oppressive and failed system.

To wit: Last week (13th of April 2009) Obama visited Mexico and in a joint news conference with Mexican President Felipe de Jesus Calderon, Obama called for the “Inter-American Convention Against Illicit Manufacture of and Trafficking in Firearms, Ammunition, Explosives and Other Related Materials” treaty. Just, even if you are a supporter of strict gun laws consider the name of this proposed treaty, and what those words mean. The agenda is in the words. All from Blacks Law Dictionary except as noted; Illicit (*Not permitted or allowed; prohibited; unlawful; as an illicit trade*) Manufacture (from *the Latin words Manus and factura literally means, put together by hand*) Trafficking (*Commerce; Trade; The sale or exchange of merchandise, bills, money, and the like.*) Firearms (*An instrument used in the propulsion of shot, shell, or bullets by action of gunpowder exploded within it.*) Ammunition [Webster’s Collegiate] (*In warfare the projectiles thrown against the enemy, such as bullets, shells, grenades, and bombs, with their necessary propellants, detonators, fuses, and primers.*) Explosives, (*Any substance by whose decomposition or combustion gas is generated with such rapidity that it can be used for blasting or firearms.*) and we all know exactly what Other Related Material refers to; anything they have not listed above. The ambiguity of the proposal is simply astounding. It would cover anything from a 1650 black powder flintlock, to a shotgun, to anything that can propel a bullet. Not only that but it in fact includes primers, gunpowder, shell casings, and in loose interpretation BB guns.

There are many other problems with this proposal. Who is to define Illicit? Obviously the writers of the law, thus anything they say is illicit is part of the proposal. Re-loading ammunition would become illegal. The assembly of a kit black powder gun would be illegal, modifying a hunting rifle by mounting a scope sight would be illegal it is manufacture, reloading ammunition illegal. Any other weapon, does that include BB guns, sling shots, and pellet rifles? Other issues in this treaty proposal includes; banning reloading, banning gun clubs, extradition of American gun stores owners to Mexico for violation of this law and prosecution thereof in Mexico. Micro stamping of all gun parts and munitions, and registration of all guns.

If such a treaty were to be enacted would it in fact negate the second amendment?

The concern is of course the lie by Mexican authorities that 90% of the guns used by the crime/drug cartels of Mexico come from America. Media reports in the last few weeks have shown weapons caches collected in the Mexican Federales. These have often puzzled me. I have never seen a 50-caliber machinegun, RPG, or hand-grenades sold in any American gun store, furthermore almost all of these guns are fully automatic and are not available from the average American gun seller. Additionally it is much more cost effective for the cartels to import KA 47’s directly from China (in automatic mode) or the Israeli weapons bazaar, then buying them third hand (semi-automatic) from American gun stores. The fact that the Federales will only release a small percentage of the serial numbers of confiscated weapons leads one to think this is a contrived issue. I certainly would not put it past the gun lobby and its supporters to make bogus claims of origin to bolster their position in this debate.

And neither would I discount Obama's administration or the Mexican government of playing along to get their onerous treaty laws enacted.

Much of this hoopla in the Obama administration comes from Eric Holder and Janet Napolitano both of whom are on the far political left. While Holder has stated that he wants the Clinton semiautomatic gun ban reinstated, Napolitano has defended her assertion of identifying veterans as possible fodder for right wing extremist's organizations. In fact if we examine the Obama administrations assertion relating to "right wing extremists terrorist groups" we can provide an immediate profile: A veteran, member of the NRA, those opposed to abortion, white male, opposer of the IRS tax structure, who believes that the government is out of control and is taxing Americans into poverty, that has a spending agenda that is completely out of control. Well that does include me and about 75% of the American population!

The time has come for Obama, Holder, and Napolitano to concede publicly that it is not Americans who are the enemy; that terrorists are the enemy. This we know will be difficult for Napolitano who in an interview by John King on CNN stated, "Being an illegal immigrant is not a crime". With hesitation I point out to her that the statute law reads as follows; *"Any alien who enters or attempts to enter the United States at any time or place other than designated by immigration officers...shall, for the first commission of any such offence, be fined under title 18 or imprisoned not more than six months, or both"* Like Chertoff before her Napolitano is way over her head, and for that matter so is Eric Holder, I won't even comment on Obama.

- (1) Non-qualified would be any person with a history of mental illness, criminal record, drug addiction, or dishonorable military discharge.
- (2) Clinton used an EO to implement NAFTA. 87% of the American population and a majority of congress opposed the agreement. It is called an agreement because it was never ratified. He simply had it published in the Congressional Register and it became law within 90 days due to no legal objection, that way it passed but no congressman can be held accountable. Result: a monthly increase of \$67 billion trade deficit, the loss of about 7 million manufacturing jobs, and the closure of over 4,300 manufacturing plants.

Dr. Krieg's books are available from Northern Voice.