

## **Why we must oppose Sotomayor**

By: A. H. Krieg

David Souter has been a disaster as a Supreme Court Justice, all we can add is good riddance. He was the proponent of the New London (CT) [US 545 (2005)] decision that allowed a city, through eminent domain, steal private property by forced sale, and then at a loss sell it to a developer, for the sole reason of increasing the tax rolls for the city. If that's not communism then pray tell what is it? Obama's intended replacement to the bench of Souter, based solely on race and gender is a disservice to the nation, judges, as everyone else should be appointed on ability not race not gender. The Obama administration and its toadies all speak the same words in her praises; all of them ignore the obvious. Over 60% of Sonia Sotomayor's judicial findings in the Appellate court has been overturned in the Supreme Court. That tells a mountain about judicial activism. If you were interviewing a candidate for any position and found that he reached mistaken conclusion 60% of the time would you hire that person? Her blatantly racist comments that a Hispanic woman is better qualified than a white male tells us about the sort of parameters she will be applying in her decisions. An overview of attorney's comments that argued cases before her court is almost universally negative. They claim her to be bad tempered, abrupt, unwilling to listen, difficult to deal with, opinionated, and judgmental before the fact. Her last judicial case in the Appellate court was the New Haven CT firemen case against whom she ruled, that will most certainly be overturned by the Supreme Court. Her ruling was that over a dozen white men and one Hispanic man who has passed the New Haven firemen's officers exam could not be promoted on the grounds that all the blacks who took the exam failed the test. The city of New Haven admitted that there was absolutely no racial bias in the exams, as did both sides to the case. A quote by justice Sotomayor "The Court of Appeals is where policy is made" Constitutionally the judicial branch of government is strictly forbidden to make policy. In her defense Obama's White House spokesman said, "She is the best choice to protect our "Living Constitution" which is the code word for constitutional interpretation not based on Constitutional text but personal interpretation of the norms of present society by the judges own opinion. Then there is the fact that she is divorced but never even lived with her one time husband, Chicago attorney Kevin Noonan who has strangely been silent on her nomination. Then the Fiancé' Peter White she managed to turn up just before her appellate confirmation that then disappeared directly after it. Those are not the necessary qualifications for a Supreme Court Justice they are the qualifications for an activist judge who will force her personal opinions on all of us!

Visit our site: [www.a2zPublications.com](http://www.a2zPublications.com)